

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/704,494	11/02/2000	Timothy Samuel Girton	760-35	4895	
23869	7590 09/23/2003				
HOFFMANN & BARON, LLP			EXAMINER		
6900 JERICI SYOSSET, 1	HO TURNPIKE NY 11791		MILLER, C	MILLER, CHERYL L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3738	//	
			DATE MAIL ED. 00/22/2002	DATE MAIL ED: 00/23/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	M				
Advisory Action	09/704,494	GIRTON, TIMOTHY	SAMUEL				
navious y notion	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Cheryl Miller	3738					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 09 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three model are patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF							
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		•				
(a) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) Methey present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because:	r reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NO	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved of b) disap	proved by the Exan	niner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme							
10. Other:	RRUCE SNOW	Chen / Min					
	PRIMARY EXAMINER	•					

Application No.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/704,494





Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicants proposed amendment includes new issues, for instance, PTFE having "no node and fibril structure" in all independent claims, and also the siloxane being "polydimethylsiloxane", which are new issues and require a further search. Applicant has also presented additional claims (17,18) without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims (12). In addition, applicants arguments have not been found persuasive and the examiners position is believed to be adequetly described in the final rejection.